

Gluon-Meson Duality

Christof Wetterich¹

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Heidelberg
Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg

Abstract

The QCD-vacuum is characterized by the Higgs phenomenon for colored scalar fields. In this dual picture the gluons appear as the octet of vector mesons. Also quarks and baryons are identified. Gluon-meson and quark-baryon duality can account in a simple way for realistic masses of all low-mass hadrons and for their interactions.

¹e-mail: C.Wetterich@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

Universality is a central concept in today’s understanding of how renormalizable theories arise from unknown “microscopic physics” at distances much smaller than directly observable by experiment. The precise form of the microscopic action does not matter – a fact extensively used in lattice simulations of QCD. For example, we may add to the microscopic Lagrangian of QCD a four-quark interaction

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = h^2 \left(\bar{\Psi}_{ai} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right) \Psi_{ib} \right) (m_\phi^2 - \partial^\mu \partial_\mu)^{-1} \left(\bar{\Psi}_{bj} \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \Psi_{ja} \right) \quad (1)$$

without altering the predictions at observable distances. (Here i, j and a, b are color and flavor indices.) At the microscopic scale the parameters h and m_ϕ are arbitrary as long as m_ϕ is not much smaller than the inverse microscopic length scale Λ , such that $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ remains essentially local. In contrast, effective couplings of the type (1) at some scale $k \ll \Lambda$ become calculable in terms of the renormalized gauge coupling.

Field representations are not a universal feature. In fact, we may interpret $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ as arising from integrating out a heavy scalar field with Yukawa interaction h to the quarks. In principle, the choice of fields used to describe a given universality class is a matter of convenience, provided they account for the light physical particles and the symmetries governing their interactions.

Duality is a powerful tool which can be used to relate different field descriptions for a given universality class. Each description may be optimal for a given momentum scale k or a given size of the relevant coupling constants. For example, the nonlinear $O(3)$ -Heisenberg model in two dimensions is asymptotically free. At long distances the dimensionless coupling grows and produces a non-perturbative mass by dimensional transmutation. By virtue of these similarities this model has often been considered as a prototype for QCD. In the non-linear σ -model description the nonperturbative behavior for large coupling appears to be quite complicated. In contrast, a dual description in terms of a linear σ -model has provided [1] a very simple successful picture of the strong coupling region – it is simply given by a massive $O(3)$ -symmetric scalar field theory. For QCD, we would like to have a similar simple dual description for scales where the gauge coupling is large. We already know the relevant degrees of freedom from experiment: For bosons these are the light mesons and we have to search for gluon-meson duality. For fermions the light degrees of freedom are the baryon octet – we therefore also need quark-baryon duality. In this note we propose such a simple dual description by using additional scalar fields. The essential features of confinement are described by the Higgs phenomenon for colored scalar fields, which turns the gluons into massive vector mesons. The equivalence of the confinement or Higgs descriptions has, in principle, been known for a long time [2]. It was recently used in order to establish that there is no phase transition in the high temperature electroweak standard model [3] and for a discussion of properties of high density quark or nuclear matter [4].

In addition to the quark and gluon fields we consider scalar fields with the transformation properties of quark-antiquark pairs. With respect to the color and chiral flavor rotations $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ the three light left-handed and right-handed quarks Ψ_L, Ψ_R transform as $(3,3,1)$ and $(3,1,3)$, respectively. Quark-antiquark bilinears therefore contain a color singlet $\Phi(1, \bar{3}, 3)$ and a color octet $\chi(8, \bar{3}, 3)$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{ij} &= \chi_{ij} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\phi, \\ \phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\gamma_{ii} \quad , \quad \chi_{ii} = 0\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Here we use a matrix notation for the flavor indices and write the color indices explicitly, e.g. $\Psi_{ai} \equiv \Psi_i$, $\chi_{ij,ab} \equiv \chi_{ij}$, $\phi_{ab} \equiv \phi$. Then γ_{ij} contains 81 complex scalar fields. We consider an effective Lagrangian with terms up to dimension four

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= i\bar{\Psi}_i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi_i + g\bar{\Psi}_i\gamma^\mu A_{ij,\mu}\Psi_j + \frac{1}{2}G_{ij}^{\mu\nu}G_{ij,\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + Tr\{(D^\mu\gamma_{ij})^\dagger D_\mu\gamma_{ij}\} + U(\gamma) \\ &\quad + \bar{\Psi}_i[(h\phi\delta_{ij} + \tilde{h}\chi_{ij})\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} - (h\phi^\dagger\delta_{ij} + \tilde{h}\chi_{ji}^\dagger)\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}]\Psi_j\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

with $A_{ij,\mu} = \frac{1}{2}A_\mu^z(\lambda_z)_{ij}$, $G_{ij,\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_{ij,\nu} - \partial_\nu A_{ij,\mu} - igA_{ik,\mu}A_{kj,\nu} + igA_{ik,\nu}A_{kj,\mu}$ and λ_z the eight Gell-Mann matrices, $Tr \lambda_y\lambda_z = 2\delta_{yz}$. The interaction between gluons and χ arise from the covariant derivative $D_\mu\gamma_{ij} = \partial_\mu\gamma_{ij} - igA_{ik,\mu}\gamma_{kj} + ig\gamma_{ik}A_{kj,\mu}$. In our notation the transposition acts only on flavor indices, e.g. $(\gamma_{ij}^\dagger)_{ab} = \gamma_{ij,b a}^*$. The effective potential

$$U(\gamma) = U_0(\chi, \phi) - \frac{1}{2}\nu(\det \phi + \det \phi^\dagger)\tag{4}$$

conserves axial $U(1)$ symmetry except for the term $\sim \nu$. (We omit for simplicity other possible $U(1)_A$ -violation by terms involving χ .) Finally, explicit chiral symmetry breaking is induced by a linear term

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_j &= -\frac{1}{2}Z_\phi^{-1/2} Tr (j^\dagger\phi + \phi^\dagger j) \\ j^\dagger &= a_q diag(\bar{m}_u, \bar{m}_d, \bar{m}_s).\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

In a renormalization group framework the parameters appearing in (2) should be considered as running coupling constants. For instance, we may associate $\Gamma_k = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$ with the effective average action [5] which exhibits an infrared cutoff k . The vacuum properties and the physical particle spectrum should be extracted for $k = 0$. For short-distance scattering processes, however, one may account for the momentum dependence of the vertices by

using for k an appropriate momentum scale. Our description should coincide with perturbative QCD for large k .

Let us expand $U_0 = m_\phi^2 \text{Tr } \phi^\dagger \phi + m_\chi^2 \text{Tr } \chi_{ij}^\dagger \chi_{ij} + \dots$ and assume that for large k both m_ϕ^2 and m_χ^2 are large and positive. Then the additional scalar excitations will effectively decouple. Whereas the expectation value $\langle \chi \rangle$ vanishes, one finds $\langle \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^{-2} Z_\Phi^{-1/2} j$ and therefore effective quark masses

$$m_q = \frac{1}{2} h m_\Phi^{-2} Z_\Phi^{-1/2} j_q. \quad (6)$$

This is the only relevant effect of the additional degrees of freedom and exactly what is needed for perturbative QCD! We have explicitly checked that in leading order the running of the quark masses only arises from gluon diagrams. (The sources j are constant and the effects of the running scalar wave function renormalization Z_Φ drop out.) In fact, for large m_Φ^2 and m_χ^2 it is straightforward to integrate out the scalar degrees of freedom. The field Φ produces a correction $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ given by (1) and the quark mass term (6), whereas from χ we obtain higher-order gluon interactions by expanding $-\text{Tr } \ln(m_\chi^2 - D^2[A])$ with D^2 the covariant Laplacian and a term similar to (1). The corrections are nonrenormalizable interactions which are irrelevant by standard universality arguments. In fact, the scalar fields in Γ_k may be viewed as a shorthand for the presence of these nonrenormalizable quark and gluon interactions in the effective action. One can therefore compute the couplings appearing in (3) at large scales (say $k = 10$ GeV) in a perturbative QCD calculation of effective vertices like (1).

Due to the presence of quark fluctuations the mass terms m_Φ^2 and m_χ^2 decrease as k is lowered. Perturbation theory breaks down once m_Φ^2 or m_χ^2 are of the same size as k^2 . It is our central postulate that the mass terms turn negative in the nonperturbative region of small k , inducing nonzero expectation values² for both $\langle \phi \rangle$ and $\langle \chi \rangle$ even for $j = 0$.

In an intermediate range of k below ≈ 700 MeV the flow of m_Φ^2 has been discussed previously in a linear quark-meson model [6]. This is related to the present picture by neglecting the gluons and χ . In the linear quark-meson model chiral symmetry breaking was indeed observed due to m_Φ^2 turning negative for small k . At least for small enough k the effects of confinement and therefore the gluons should play an important role, however. They are included here by adding χ and A_μ .

We suggest that the essential features of confinement are described by nonzero $\langle \chi \rangle$ in the range of very small k . In the absence of quark masses we propose

$$\langle \chi_{ij,ab} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} \chi_0 \lambda_{ji}^z \lambda_{ab}^z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \chi_0 (\delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab}) \quad (7)$$

²The $SU(3)_c$ color breaking by nonzero $\langle \chi \rangle$ occurs only in a gauge-fixed version, whereas an explicitly gauge-invariant formulation is used below.

with real $\chi_0 > 0$. This expectation value is invariant under vectorlike $SU(3)$ transformations by which identical left and right flavor rotations and transposed color rotations are performed with opposite angles. With respect to the transposed color rotations the quarks behave as antitriplets. In consequence, under the combined transformation they transform as an octet plus a singlet, with all quantum numbers identical to the baryon octet/singlet. In particular, the electric charges, as given by the generator $Q = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8$, are integer. We therefore identify the quark field with the lowest baryon octet and singlet – this is quark-baryon duality. Similarly, the gluons transform as an octet of vector-mesons, again with the standard charges for the ρ , K^* and ω/φ mesons. We therefore describe these vector mesons by the gluon field – this is gluon-meson duality.

In order to visualize the physical content in this regime, it is convenient to use nonlinear coordinates in field space, given by a hermitean matrix S and unitary matrices U, v

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_L &= U^\dagger N_L v \quad , \quad \Psi_R = N_R v \quad , \quad A_\mu = v^T V_\mu v^* - \frac{i}{g} \partial_\mu v^T v^* , \\ \Phi &= SU \quad , \quad \chi_{ij,ab} = v_{ik}^T X_{kl,ac} v_{lj}^* U_{cb} \quad .\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

We also adopt a matrix notation for the color indices with the quark/baryon nonet represented by a complex 3×3 matrix $\Psi \equiv \Psi_{ai}$, $\bar{\Psi} \equiv \bar{\Psi}_{ia}$. Besides v all nonlinear fields are color singlets. For the present investigation we omit the scalar excitations except for the Goldstone bosons contained in U . We can therefore replace $X_{kl,ab}$ by the expectation value (7) and use $\langle S \rangle = \sigma_0$ such that

$$\Phi = \sigma_0 U \quad , \quad \chi_{ij,ab} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \chi_0 \{ v_{ai} (v^\dagger U)_{jb} - \frac{1}{3} U_{ab} \delta_{ij} \} \tag{9}$$

In terms of the nonlinear field coordinates the Lagrangian (2) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= Tr \{ i \bar{N} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu N + i \bar{N}_L \gamma^\mu U \partial_\mu U^\dagger N_L + g \bar{N} \gamma^\mu N V_\mu^T \} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} Tr \{ V^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu} \} + g^2 \chi_0^2 Tr \{ V^\mu V_\mu \} - \nu \sigma_0^3 \cos \theta \\ &+ (h \sigma_0 - \frac{\tilde{h}}{3\sqrt{6}} \chi_0) Tr \{ \bar{N} \gamma_5 N \} + \frac{\tilde{h}}{\sqrt{6}} Tr \bar{N} \gamma^5 Tr N \\ &+ (\sigma_0^2 + \frac{4}{9} \chi_0^2) Tr \partial^\mu U^\dagger \partial_\mu U + i g \chi_0^2 Tr \{ U \partial_\mu U^\dagger V_\mu^T \} \end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with $V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu - ig[V_\mu, V_\nu]$. As it should be, $\mathcal{L}$ does not depend on v , and U only appears in derivative terms except for the phase θ associated to the η' -meson. Here we associate U in the standard way with the pseudoscalar octet of Goldstone bosons

$$U = \exp(-\frac{i}{3}\theta) \exp\left(i \frac{\Pi^z \lambda_z}{f}\right) \tag{11}$$

where the decay constant reads

$$f = 2(\sigma_0^2 + \frac{4}{9}\chi_0^2)^{1/2} \approx 90 \text{ MeV}. \quad (12)$$

The nucleon-, the baryon singlet-, and the ρ -mass are given by

$$m_N = h\sigma_0 - \frac{\tilde{h}}{3\sqrt{6}}\chi_0 \approx 930 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_1 = h\sigma_0 + \frac{8}{3}\frac{\tilde{h}}{\sqrt{6}}\chi_0, \quad M_\rho = g\chi_0 \approx 770 \text{ MeV}. \quad (13)$$

Denoting the relative size of the octet and the singlet condensates by $x = 4\chi_0^2/(9\sigma_0^2)$, one finds for the gauge coupling

$$g = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{1+x}{x} \right)^{1/2} \frac{M_\rho}{f} \approx 11 \left(\frac{1+x}{x} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (14)$$

We can compare this with the $(\rho\pi\pi)$ -coupling contained in (10)

$$-g_{\rho\pi\pi} = g \frac{\chi_0^2}{f^2} = \frac{M_\rho^2}{gf^2} = \frac{9x}{16(1+x)} g \approx 6 \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (15)$$

Inferring $g_{\rho\pi\pi} \approx 6$ from the decay width $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$ (and keeping in mind corrections up to 30 % from nonzero quark masses), the agreement between (14) and (15) is striking for x not too small. This implies $g \approx 12$. In the gluon language our result corresponds to a finite strong gauge coupling $\alpha_s \approx 12$. One also finds the effective coupling of nucleons to the ρ -vector mesons $g_{\rho NN} = g$.. The pseudoscalar self-interactions take the standard form implied by chiral perturbation theory. The pion nucleon coupling in (10) is not yet in its definite form since the left- and right-handed nucleon fields can still be redefined by a common (non-integer) power of U . Finally, the η' -mass is $M_{\eta'}^2 = 3\nu\sigma_0/2$. Within a large range of potential parameters the source term (4) leads to realistic masses of the pseudoscalar mesons consistent with chiral perturbation theory. Electromagnetic and weak interactions are included by gauging the appropriate flavor symmetries.

We conclude that the simple effective action (3) can give a realistic approximate description of the masses of all low-lying mesons and baryons and of their interactions. Gluon-meson duality turns out to be the well-known Higgs phenomenon with colored scalar fields! The effective action (3) is only an approximation, but its phenomenological success hints to the possibility that higher-order (“nonrenormalizable”) operators may only play a subleading role. Higher mass meson and baryon resonances are considered as bound states of the fields used here. At this stage we did not attempt to calculate the parameters appearing in the potential or the Yukawa couplings $h, \tilde{h}$ from the known short-distance physics. The non-perturbative flow equation [5] for the average action seems to be the appropriate tool for this purpose. Only

after a calculation of σ_0 and χ_0 in terms of Λ_{QCD} we can decide if the proposed field content and the “truncation” to a renormalizable effective action are sufficient to solve the confinement problem quantitatively.³

The present framework opens new perspectives for a calculation of the properties of hadronic matter at high temperature and density. Especially for high density the issue of baryons vs. quarks plays a crucial role due to the different Fermi surfaces [7]. Quark-baryon duality allows for a simple approach to this problem by using only one field. Recently, a picture of color-flavor-locking has been proposed [4] for dense baryonic or quark matter. This resembles our picture of the QCD-vacuum in many respects. If true, the question of a phase transition for high density hadronic matter at zero temperature reduces to the issue of spontaneous breaking of baryon symmetry: One may expect a second-order phase transition in the universality class of superfluid He^4 if baryon number is broken in the high density region, and a continuous behavior otherwise.

Quark-baryon duality has profound implications. The nonrelativistic quark model where baryons are bound states of three quarks is now supplemented by the view of baryons as “dressed quarks”. In a high energy scattering process “physical quarks” will come out, but they will come out with the quantum numbers and masses of baryons. In this sense, quarks are not confined particles, despite the fact that color charges remain exactly confined and cannot appear connected with free particles. This view may have important consequences for our picture of the parton model, both for structure functions at small Q^2 and for fragmentation. In a first crude approach to fragmentation one may simply treat the perturbative quarks as particles with the appropriate masses of the baryons, associating the quantum numbers in the octet to color and flavor of the perturbative quarks according to (8) with $v = U = 1$. The same holds for the gluons which are now regulated in the infrared by the vector boson masses. In a second step one has to include the production of pseudoscalar mesons. Obviously, many new questions and problems open up with this view. We hope that besides its conceptual simplicity gluon-meson and quark-hadron duality also opens new perspectives for quantitative calculations.

References

- [1] C. Wetterich, Z. Phys. **C57** (1993) 451
- [2] T. Banks, E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. **B160** (1979) 349;
E. Fradkin, S. Shenker, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979) 3682

³It is an important advantage of our setting that the explicit chiral symmetry breaking by quark mass terms appears only through a linear source term. The computation of $\mathcal{L}$ is independent of the quark masses and the results hold for arbitrary j .

- [3] M. Reuter, C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B408** (1993) 91;
C. Wetterich, “Electroweak Physics and the Early Universe”, eds. J. Romao and F. Freire, Plenum Press (1994) 229;
W. Buchmüller, O. Philipsen, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 47;
K. Kajantie, M. Laine, R. Rummukainen, M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 2887
- [4] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B537** (1999) 443;
T. Schäfer, F. Wilczek, hep-ph/9811473;
M. Alford, J. Berges, K. Rajagopal, hep-ph/9903502
- [5] C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. **301B** (1993) 90; Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 529
- [6] D. Jungnickel, C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 5142;
J. Berges, D. Jungnickel, C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 034010
- [7] J. Berges, D. Jungnickel, C. Wetterich, hep-ph/9811387